Missouri Department of Natural Resources



PUBLIC NOTICE

DRAFT MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

DATE: August 28, 2006

In accordance with the state Clean Water Law, Chapter 644, RSMo, Clean Water Commission regulation 10 CSR 20-6.010, and the federal Clean Water Act, the applicants listed herein have applied for authorization to either discharge to waters of the state or to operate a no-discharge wastewater treatment facility. The proposed permits for these operations are consistent with applicable water quality standards, effluent standards and/or treatment requirements or suitable timetables to meet these requirements (see 10 CSR 20-7.015 and 7.031). All permits will be issued for a period of five years, unless noted otherwise in the Public Notice for that discharge.

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR), as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

Persons wishing to comment on the proposed permit conditions are invited to submit them in writing to the Department of Natural Resources, Water Protection Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, ATTN: NPDES Permits and Engineering Section / Permit Comments. **Please include the permit number in all comment letters.**

Comments should be confined to the issues relating to the proposed action and permit(s) and the effect on water quality. The MDNR may not consider as relevant comments or objections to a permit based on issues outside the authority of the Clean Water Commission, (see <u>Curdt v. Mo. Clean Water Commission</u>, 586 S.W.2d 58 Mo. App. 1979).

All comments must be postmarked by September 26, 2006 or received in our office by 5:00 p.m. on September 29, 2006. The requirement of a signed document makes it impossible to accept email comments for consideration at this time. Comments will be considered in the formulation of all final determinations regarding the applications. If response to this notice indicates significant public interest, a public meeting or hearing may be held after due notice for the purpose of receiving public comment on the proposed permit or determination. Public hearings and/or issuance of the permit will be conducted or processed according to 10 CSR 20-6.020.

Copies of all draft permits and other information including copies of applicable regulations are available for inspection and copying at DNR's website, http://www.dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/index.html, or at the Department of Natural Resources, Water Protection Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Public Notice Date: August 28, 2006 Permit Number: MO-0101184 Southeast Regional Office						
FACILITY NAME AND ADDRESS			NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER			
Facility Name: Buckman Laboratories, Inc.		Owner:	Bulab Realty of Missouri			
	14664 Highway 47, Cadet, Missouri 63630	Address:	1256 N. McLean Blvd., Memphis, TN, 38108			
RECEIVING S	TREAM & LEGAL DESCRIPTION		TYPE OF DISCHARGE			
Receiving Stream:	Outfall 002: Mill Creek (P) Outfall 005: unnamed tributary to Cadet Creek (U)					
Legal Description:	SE ¹ / ₄ , SW ¹ / ₄ , Sec. 27, T38N, R3E, Washington County					
Latitude/Longitude	: +37 59 5/-90 41 23					

STATE OF MISSOURI

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

MO-0101184

Bulab Realty of Missouri

Permit No.

Owner:

Address:	1256 N. McLean Blvd., Memphis, T	N, 38108	
Facility Name:	Buckman Laboratories, Inc.		
Facility Address:	14664 Highway 47, Cadet, Missouri	83630	
Legal Description:	SE 14, SW 14, Sec. 27, T38N, R3E, V	Vashington Coun	ty
Latitude/Longitude:	+37 59 5/-90 AT 23		•
Receiving Stream:	Outfall (02: Mill Creek (P)	Outfall 005: ur	nnamed tributary to Cadet Creek (U)
First Classified Stream and ID:	Out all 002 Mill Creek (P) (2118)	Outfall 005: Ca	adet Creek (P) (2122)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.	(0714010)4 - 980002)		
is authorized to discharge from the fact as set forth herein:	ity described herein, in accordance w	ith the effluent lin	mitations and monitoring requirements
FACILITY DESCRIPTION			
Outfall #002 – Stormwater			
Outfall #005 – Stormwater			
Buckman Laboratories is specialty cher manufacturing process. Outfall #002 re flow from a fire pond.			
This permit authorizes only wastewater	discharges under the Missouri Clean	Water I aw and tl	he National Pollutant Discharge
Elimination System; it does not apply to the Law.			
Effective Date		birector, Department of	
	Executive Secreta	ry, Clean Water Com	umission
Expiration Date	Edward Galbraith	, Director of Staff, Cl	ean Water Commission

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

PAGE NUMBER 2 of 9

PERMIT NUMBER MO-0101184

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until _ _. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS MONITORING REQUIREME						UIREMENTS
(OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETERS)	UNITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #002						
Total Rainfall	inches	*			Daily	Rain Gauge
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
TOC	mg/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Settleable Solids	mL/L/hr.	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
pH – Units	SU	**			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Phosphorous as P	mg/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
1,2 – Dichloroethane	ug/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
1,4, - Diethylene Dioxide	ug/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Organic Active Ingredients	ug/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	ug/L	*			Once/Month	Grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>January 30, 2007</u> . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						

% survival See special conditions Once/year Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test 24 hour comp.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED Parts I & III STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

MO 780-0010 (8/91)

Monitoring requirement only.

pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is limited to the range of 6.0 to 9.0 pH units

Α.	EFFLUENT	LIMITATIONS A	AND MONITORING	REQUIREMENTS
7 B.	DITLOURIT			MEQUINEMIE: 110

PAGE NUMBER 3 of 9
PERMIT NUMBER MO-0101184

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until _______. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

(OUTEALL NUMBED AND SESTIMENT		FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
(OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETERS)	UNITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #005						
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
TOC	mg/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Settleable Solids	mL/L/hr.	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
pH – Units	SU	**			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Phosphorous as P	mg/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
1,2 – Dichloroethane	ug/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
1,4, - Diethylene Dioxide	ug/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Organic Active Ingredients	ug/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab
Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	ug/L	*			Once/Qtr.	Grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED $\underline{\text{OUARTERLY}}$; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE $\underline{\text{January 30, 2007}}$. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED <u>Parts I & III</u> STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED <u>October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994</u>, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is limited to the range of 6.0 to 9.0 pH units

Receiving Water Monitoring Requirements

Site DS1. Downstream of Outfall 002

PARAMETER(S)	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	LOCATION	
Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Once/Month (Coincident with 002 sampling)	Grab	~1,000' Downstream of Outfall 002	

Site DS2. Exit of Mill Creek from Buckman Property

PARAMETER(S)	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	LOCATION	
Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Once/Month (Coincident with 002 sampling)	Grab	Mill Creek at the point downstream of 002 where it leaves Buckman property	

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

- 1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
 - a. Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - b. Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
 - c. Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

- 2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
- 3. Permittee shall not allow fishing in Mill Creek between Outfall #002 and the point that Mill Creek leaves the Permittee's property.
- 4. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances.

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- a. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 μ g/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 μ g/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
 - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.
- b. That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.
- 5. For parameters that require monthly monitoring, a minimum of one stormwater sample must be collected and analyzed each month that precipitation causes a discharge. Report as "no-discharge" when a discharge does not occur during the report period.

6. Water Quality Standards.

- a. Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
- b. General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
 - Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life:
 - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
 - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
 - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
 - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.
- 7. Sludge and Biosolids Use For Domestic Wastewater Treatment Facilities
 - a. Permittee shall comply with the pollutant limitations, monitoring, reporting, and other requirements in accordance with the attached permit Standard Conditions.
 - b. If sludge is not removed by a contract hauler, permittee is authorized to land apply biosolids. Permit Standard Conditions, Part III shall apply to the land application of biosolids. Permittee shall notify the department at least 180 days prior to the planned removal of biosolids. The department may require submittal of a biosolids management plan for department review and approval as determined appropriate on a case-by-case basis.
- 8. All paint, solvents, petroleum products and petroleum waste products (except fuels), and storage containers (such as drums, cans, or cartons) shall be stored so that these materials are not exposed to storm water. Sufficient practices of spill prevention, control, and/or management shall be provided to prevent any spills of these pollutants from entering a water of the state. Any containment system used to implement this requirement shall be constructed of materials compatible with the substances contained and shall also prevent the contamination of groundwater.
- 9. Collection facilities shall be provided on-site, and arrangement made for proper disposal of waste products, including but not limited to, petroleum waste products and solvents.
- 10. Good housekeeping practices shall be maintained on the site to keep solid waste from entry into waters of the state.
- 11. All fueling facilities present on the site shall adhere to applicable federal and state regulations concerning underground storage, above ground storage, and dispensers, including spill prevention, control and counter measures.
- 12. Substances regulated by federal law under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) or the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) that are transported, stored, or used for maintenance, cleaning or repair shall be managed according to the provisions of RCRA and CERCLA.
- 13. A storm water pollution prevention plan shall be developed within 90 days of receipt of this permit and implemented. The plan will be developed in accordance with the EPA guidance manual Storm Water Management for Industrial Activities. (EPA 832_R-92006, 9/92). The plan shall include procedure for identifying the source areas of the Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether present in the stormwater, and best management practices for reducing or eliminating the presence of Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether in stormwater. The permittee must submit the plan within 10 days of the receipt of a written request by the Department and the plan shall be available during site inspections. The plan does not need to be submitted to the Water Protection Program for approval.

14. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test shall be conducted as follows:

SUMMARY OF WET TESTING FOR THIS PERMIT							
OUTFALL A.E.C. % FREQUENCY SAMPLE TYPE MONTH							
#002	100	Once/year	Grab	August			

- a. Test Schedule and Follow-Up Requirements
 - (1) Perform a single-dilution test in the months and at the frequency specified above. For tests which are successfully passed, submit test results using the department's WET TEST REPORT FORM #MO-780-1899 along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, including copies of chain-of-custody forms within 30 calendar days of availability to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102. If the effluent passes the test, do not repeat the test until the next test period.
 - (a) For discharges of stormwater, samples shall be collected within three hours from when discharge first occurs.
 - (b) Samples submitted for analysis of stormwater discharges shall be collected as a grab.
 - (c) For discharges of no-stormwater, samples shall be collected only when precipitation has not occurred for a period of forty-eight hours prior to sample collection. In no event shall sample collection occur simultaneously with the occurrence of precipitation.
 - (d) A twenty-four hour composite sample shall be submitted for analysis of non-stormwater discharges.
 - (e) Upstream receiving water samples, where required, shall be collected upstream from any influence of the effluent where downstream flow is clearly evident.
 - (f) Samples submitted for analysis of upstream receiving water may be collected as either a grab or twenty-four-hour composite as appropriated to the nature of the discharge.
 - (g) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of this effluent sample beyond preservation method consistent with federal guideline for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping.
 - (h) Any and all chemical or physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% Effluent concentration in addition to analyses performed upon any other effluent concentration.
 - (i) All chemical analyses included in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources WET test report form #MO-780-1899 shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form.
 - (j) Where flow-weighted composite sample is required for analysis, the samples shall be composited at the laboratory where the test is to be performed.
 - (k) Where in stream testing is required downstream from the discharge, sample collection shall occur immediately below the established Zone of Initial Dilution in conjunction with or immediately following a release or discharge.
 - (l) Samples submitted for analysis of downstream receiving water may be collected as either a grab or twenty-four-hour composite as appropriate to the nature of the discharge.
 - (2) All failing test results along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, including those tests conducted under condition (3) below, shall be reported to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the availability of the results.
 - (3) If the effluent fails the test, a multiple dilution test shall be performed within 30 calendar days and biweekly thereafter, until one of the following conditions are met:
 - (a) Three consecutive multiple-dilution test pass. No further tests need to be performed until next regularly scheduled test period.
 - (b) A total of three multiple-dilution tests fail.
 - (4) Failure of at least two multiple-dilution tests during any period of accelerated monitoring violates the permit narrative requirement for aquatic life protection.

- a. Test Schedule and Follow-Up Requirements (continued)
 - (5) The permittee shall submit a CONCISE summary of all test results for the test series to WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the third failed test.
 - (6) Additionally, the following shall apply upon failure of the third MULTIPLE DILUTION test: A toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) or toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) is automatically triggered. The permittee shall contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 14 calendar days from availability of the test results to ascertain as to whether a TIE or TRE is appropriate. The permittee shall submit a plan for conducting a TIE or TRE to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 60 calendar days of the date of DNR's direction to perform either a TIE or TRE. This plan must be approved by DNR before the TIE or TRE is begun. A schedule for completing the TIE or TRE shall be established in the plan approval.
 - (7) Upon DNR's approval, the TIE/TRE schedule may be modified if toxicity is intermittent during the TIE/TRE investigations revised WET test schedule may be established by DNR for this period.
 - (8) If a previously completed TIE has clearly identified the cause of toxicity, additional TIEs will not be required as long as effluent characteristics remain essentially unchanged and the permittee is proceeding according to a DNR approved schedule to complete a TRE and reduce toxicity. Regularly scheduled WET testing as required in the permit, without the follow-up requirements, will be required during this period.
 - (9) When WET test sampling is required to run over one DMR period, each DMR report shall contain a copy of the department's WET test report form that was generated during the reporting period.
 - (10) Submit a concise summary in tabular format of all test results with the annual report.
- b. PASS/FAIL procedure and effluent limitations:
 - (1) To pass a single-dilution test, mortality observed in the AEC test concentration shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; p= 0.05) than that observed in the upstream receiving-water control sample. Where upstream receiving water is not available mortality observed in the AEC test concentration shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; p = 0.05) than that observed in the laboratory control. The appropriate statistical tests of significance shall be consistent with the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms or other Federal guidelines as appropriate or required.
 - (2) To pass a multiple-dilution test:
 - (a) For facilities with a computed percent effluent at the edge of the zone of initial dilution, Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC), OF 30% OR LESS THE AEC must be less than three-tenths (0.3) of the LC₅₀ concentration for the most sensitive of the test organisms; **OR**,
 - (b) FOR FACILITIES WITH AN AEC GREATER THAN 30% THE LC50 CONCENTRATION MUST BE GREATER THAN 100%; **AND**,
 - (c) All effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC must be nontoxic. Mortality observed in all effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; p = 0.05) than that observed in the upstream receiving-water control sample. Where upstream receiving water is not available mortality observed in the AEC test concentration shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; p = 0.05) than that observed in the laboratory control. The appropriate statistical tests of significance shall be consistent with the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms or other federal guidelines as appropriate or required. Failure of one multiple-dilution test may be considered an effluent limit violation.

c. Test Conditions

- (1) Test Type: Acute Static non-renewal
- (2) Test species: Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow). Organisms used in WET testing shall come from cultures reared for the purpose of conducting toxicity tests and cultured in a manner consistent with the most current USEPA guidelines. All test animals shall be cultured as described in the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms.
- (3) Test period: 48 hours at the "Acceptable Effluent Concentration" (AEC) specified above.
- (4) When dilution are required, upstream receiving stream water shall be used as dilution water. If upstream water is unavailable or if mortality in the upstream water exceeds 10%, "reconstituted" water will be used as dilution water. Procedures for generating reconstituted water will be supplied by the MDNR upon request.
- (5) Single-dilution tests will be run with:
 - (a) Effluent at the AEC concentration:
 - (b) 100% receiving-stream water (if available), collected upstream of the outfall at a point beyond any influence of the effluent; and
 - (c) Reconstituted water.
- (6) Multiple-dilution tests will be run with:
 - (a) 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25% effluent, unless the AEC is less than 25% effluent, in which case dilutions will be 4 times the AEC, two times the AEC, AEC, 1/2 AEC and 1/4 AEC;
 - (b) 100% receiving-stream water (if available), collected upstream of the outfall at a point beyond any influence of the effluent; and
 - (c) reconstituted water.
- (7) If reconstituted-water control mortality for a test species exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun.
- (8) If upstream control mortality exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun using reconstituted water as the dilutant.

SUMMARY OF TEST METHODOLOGY FOR WHOLE-EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTS

Whole-effluent-toxicity test required in NPDES permits shall use the following test conditions when performing single or multiple dilution methods. Any future changes in methodology will be supplied to the permittee by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). Unless more stringent methods are specified by the DNR, the procedures shall be consistent with the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms,

Test conditions for Ceriodaphnia dubia:

Test duration: 48 h

Temperature: $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C Temperatures shall not deviate by more than 3° C during

the test.

Light Quality: Ambient laboratory illumination

Photoperiod: 16 h light, 8 h dark Size of test vessel: 30 mL (minimum) Volume of test solution: 15 mL (minimum)

Age of test organisms: <24 h old

No. of animals/test vessel: 5 No. of replicates/concentration: 4

No. of organisms/concentration: 20 (minimum)

Feeding regime: None (feed prior to test)

Aeration: None

Dilution water: Upstream receiving water; if no upstream flow, synthetic water

modified to reflect effluent hardness

Endpoint: Pass/Fail (Statistically significant Mortality when compared to

upstream receiving water control or synthetic control if upstream

water was not available at $p \le 0.05$)

Test acceptability criterion: 90% or greater survival in controls

Test conditions for (Pimephales promelas):

No. of organisms/concentration:

Test duration: 48 h

Temperature: $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C Temperatures shall not deviate by more than 3° C during

the test.

Light Quality: Ambient laboratory illumination

Photoperiod: 16 h light/ 8 h dark
Size of test vessel: 250 mL (minimum)
Volume of test solution: 200 mL (minimum)
Age of test organisms: 1-14 days (all same age)

No. of animals/test vessel:

No. of replicates/concentration: 4 (minimum) single dilution method

2 (minimum) multiple dilution method 40 (minimum) single dilution method 20 (minimum) multiple dilution method

Feeding regime: None (feed prior to test)

Aeration: None, unless DO concentration falls below 4.0 mg/L; rate should

not exceed 100 bubbles/min.

Dilution water: Upstream receiving water; if no upstream flow, synthetic water

modified to reflect effluent hardness.

Endpoint: Pass/Fail (Statistically significant Mortality when compared to

upstream receiving water control or synthetic control if upstream

water was not available at p \leq 0.05)

Test Acceptability criterion: 90% or greater survival in controls

Date of Fact Sheet: August 25, 2006

Date of Public Notice:

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT STATEMENT OF BASIS

This Statement of Basis explains the rationale for development of this permit and the public participation process.

NPDES PERMIT NUMBER: MO-0101184

FACILITY NAME: Buckman Laboratories Inc.

OWNER NAME: Bulab Realty of Missouri

LOCATION: SE ¼, SW ¼, Sec. 27, T38N, R3E, Washington County

RECEIVING STREAMS: Mill Creek (P) and unnamed tributary to Cadet Creek (U)

FACILITY CONTACT PERSON: Scott Lamb TELEPHONE: (573) 438-8117

FACILITY DESCRIPTION AND RATIONALE

The facility is a specialty chemical manufacturer of chemicals used in pesticides. There have been a number of significant changes at the facility in past several years related to its stormwater management. These changes are outlined below.

Former Outfall 001 was associated with the old process wastewater treatment system. Currently the Buckman recycles all of its process wastewater, so there is no discharge from Outfall 001. Outfall 002 is one of the two remaining outfalls. Due to the re-routing of stormwater, Outfall 002 now receives run-off from virtually the entire plant site. Run-off that used to discharge through Outfall 003 is now collected and pumped so that it is combined with the run-off that discharges from outfall 002. Outfall 004 no longer exists due to filling and grading of the area that use to discharge to Outfall 004. Outfall 005 is the other remaining outfall, and consists of overflow discharge from a fire pond that receives run-off from the area near the pond. This area is landscaped and vegetated and based on past sampling results there appears to be little potential for significant levels of pollutants being discharged from this outfall. Consequently, minimal monitoring of Outfall 005 for indication of contamination appears to be appropriate.

The facility states that currently they do not treat, store or dispose of materials in a manner that would allow exposure to stormwater. Material handling and processing areas have secondary containment. Contaminates that end up in any stormwater run-off would appear to chiefly come from contaminated soils. Of the contaminants monitored in the past, the only one that appears to be a concern is Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether (B2CE). The in-stream water quality criteria to be met for B2CE is 1.4 ug/l. The facility currently finds an average of about 11 ppb and a max 69 ppb B2CE in outfall #002.

Buckman contends that even though the sampling results for B2CE are high compared to water quality criteria, they believe that because of the fairly substantial amount of base flow in Mill Creek combined with the fact that discharge only occurs during wet whether, and the fact that the drainage area which discharges to outfall 002 is much smaller than the drainage area of Mill Creek above outfall 002, there should not be an actual in-stream exceedance of the water quality criteria for B2CE.

The permit requires in-stream monitoring of B2CE to demonstrate compliance with water quality criteria, and requires the permittee to try locate the source area(s) for B2CE, and reduce or eliminate the amount of B2CE getting into the stormwater. The permittee is also required to take measures to reduce potential exposure to B2CE in Mill Creek, as well as monitor for other indicators of pollution in the stormwater.

This permit will be issued for a period of five years.